Fiscal Year:	FY 2013	Tools Lost Under 1	EV 11/26/2012
		Task Last Updated:	FY 11/26/2012
PI Name:	Sibonga, Jean Ph.D.		
Project Title:	Feasibility Study: QCT Modality for Risk Surveillance of the Hip Bone	of Bone - Effects of In-flight Cou	intermeasures on Sub-regions
Division Name:	Human Research		
Program/Discipline:	HUMAN RESEARCH		
Program/Discipline Element/Subdiscipline:	HUMAN RESEARCHBiomedical countermeasures		
Joint Agency Name:		TechPort:	No
Human Research Program Elements:	(1) <b>HHC</b> :Human Health Countermeasures		
Human Research Program Risks:	<ol> <li>Bone Fracture: Risk of Bone Fracture due to Spacef</li> <li>Osteo: Risk Of Early Onset Osteoporosis Due To Sp</li> </ol>		
Space Biology Element:	None		
Space Biology Cross-Element Discipline:	None		
Space Biology Special Category:	None		
PI Email:	jean.sibonga-1@nasa.gov	Fax:	FY
PI Organization Type:	NASA CENTER	Phone:	281-483-4556
Organization Name:	NASA Johnson Space Center		
PI Address 1:	Bone Mineral Laboratory, SK 311		
PI Address 2:	2101 NASA Parkway		
PI Web Page:			
City:	Houston	State:	TX
Zip Code:	77058	<b>Congressional District:</b>	22
Comments:			
Project Type:	Flight	Solicitation / Funding Source:	Directed Research
Start Date:	12/01/2011	End Date:	12/31/2015
No. of Post Docs:	0	No. of PhD Degrees:	0
No. of PhD Candidates:	0	No. of Master' Degrees:	0
No. of Master's Candidates:	0	No. of Bachelor's Degrees:	0
No. of Bachelor's Candidates:	0	Monitoring Center:	NASA JSC
Contact Monitor:	Norsk, Peter	<b>Contact Phone:</b>	
Contact Email:	Peter.norsk@nasa.gov		
Flight Program:	Pre/Post Flight		
Flight Assignment:	ISS NOTE: Title change per HRP and PI to "Feasibility Stud In-flight Countermeasures on Sub-regions of the Hip Bo Study - Effects of In-flight Countermeasures on Sub-reg	one"; previously "Occupational R	isk Surveillance for Bone: Pilot
Key Personnel Changes/Previous PI:	None		
COI Name (Institution):			
Grant/Contract No.:	Directed Research		
Performance Goal No.:			

3-d bon BMD [F to reseau used in 4 understa experts As part evaluate not obse for poss This pile ability o QCT sc sub-regi (e.g., die preventi regimen integral on hip b likely re than of <i>i</i> followir 1) Chara trabecul with QC 2) Trans strength 3) Chara earth an FEM. By addr issues re	setcoporotic fractures. In contrast to the 2-d imaging by DXA, quantitative computed tomography [QCT] is a e-imaging technology that is used typically to scan the hip and spine. QCT is capable of measuring, volumetric MDD, mg/cm3] of separate cortical and trabecular sub-regions as well as of total (integral) bone. QCT is limited ech applications at this time because there is not enough medical evidence to determine how QCT data should be clinical practice. QCT however provides additional information on bone structure and increases the inding of how bones respond to effectors of bone loss or gain. NASA recently convened a panel of clinical bone to review available medical and research information from astronauts who flew on long-duration space missions. of its charge, the panel identified a clinical trigger upon which the flight surgeon should have the astronaut d further by a bone endocrinologist. Specifically, the Panel recommended that if restoration to preflight BMD is rved for the hip trabecular compartment at two years after return to earth, then that astronaut should be evaluated bible therapeutic intervention to prevent premature osteoporotic fractures. to study proposes to use preflight and postflight QCT scanning of the hips in ISS astronauts to evaluate the f in-flight countermeasures to prevent the occurrence of this clinical trigger. This study further hypothesizes that nuning can distinguish the effects on different categories of in-flight countermeasures/activities on distinct on of BMD loss in hip trabecular compartment while biomechanically-based countermeasures (exercise s) will have detectable expansion of cortical bone apposition increasing both bone cross-sectional area and BMD as a consequence. These different effects on hip morphology will be subsequently translated to an effect one strength of the ISS astronaut. The combination of countermeasures during partments will more sult in greater hip bone strength as estimated by analyzing QCT data by Finite Element Modeling (FEM) my sin
	earch is directed because it contains highly constrained research, which requires focused and constrained data g and analysis that is more appropriately obtained through a non-competitive proposal.
Research Impact/Earth Benefits: Research Impact/Earth Benefits: Recently fracture health for astronau	h Impact: This study will provide data in addition to the medically-required measurement of BMDa by DXA. a requirement in the osteoporosis field to expand evaluations beyond DXA BMDa (i.e, "Bone Quality") to fracture risk fully because BMDa does not account for 100% of bone strength. This requirement is particularly at for the subject with poorly defined bone loss, i.e., other than age-related bone loss. Moreover, a report of a and postflight QCT data from eleven ISS astronauts reveals that changes in hip bone strength by FEM do not with changes in DXA BMDa. This poor correlation suggests that DXA BMDa does not detect all of the in bone strength due to spaceflight that can be detected by QCT and FE modeling. enefits: This improved assessment of skeletal integrity, being validated for spaceflight-induced bone loss in ts, would be relevant for the terrestrial, complicated patient (e.g., glucocorticoid-induced, alcohol-induced). <i>y</i> , FEM estimations of bone strength have been evaluated in population studies as predictors of incident hip s. These FE hip strengths are being evaluated for cut-points that would provide thresholds of acceptable bone or active astronauts and aging retired astronauts. The development of these cut-points, as demonstrated for ts, would undergird the current discussions to use FE hip strength as a substitute for expensive and issuming prospective trials with fracture outcome – the standard validation of hip fracture interventions.
for cons 8/10/20 pharmac are usin currently request	ceiving Authorization-to-Proceed [ATP] in 12/1/2011, the Hip QCT study received IRB-approval on 3/21/2012 enting ten ISS astronauts. Select-for-Flight decision was made on 7/9/2012. Astronaut consenting was initiated in 12. In addition, there are six ISS astronauts who are participating in flight studies that are evaluating reutical and exercise as countermeasures, ["BP SMO" and "SPRINT," respectively]. Both of these flight studies g QCT scans for measured hip outcomes. The Informed Consent Briefing [ICB] of these six astronauts, who are y on-board or recently returned from ISS, will be scheduled at post-mission time where the Hip QCT will 1-2 additional post-mission scans at R+1 year and at R+2 years, if required. The ICBs for five additional ISS ts, who have yet to launch, was completed in October 2012 and has resulted in two astronaut participants in Hip
Bibliography Type: Descrip	ion: (Last Updated: 05/24/2021)