Fiscal Year:	FY 2010	Task Last Updated:	FY 05/21/2010
PI Name:	Moore, Steven T. Ph.D.		
Project Title:	Galvanic Vestibular Stimulation (GVS	) as an analogue of post-flight sensorimoto	r dysfunction
Division Name:	Human Research		
Program/Discipline:	NSBRI		
Program/Discipline Element/Subdiscipline:	NSBRISensorimotor Adaptation Tea	m	
Joint Agency Name:		TechPort:	Yes
Human Research Program Elements:	(1) <b>HHC</b> :Human Health Countermeasure	ires	
Human Research Program Risks:	(1) Sensorimotor: Risk of Altered Sens	sorimotor/Vestibular Function Impacting C	ritical Mission Tasks
Space Biology Element:	None		
Space Biology Cross-Element Discipline:	None		
Space Biology Special Category:	None		
PI Email:	s.moore@cqu.edu.au	Fax:	FY
PI Organization Type:	UNIVERSITY	Phone:	212-241-1943
Organization Name:	Mount Sinai School of Medicine		
PI Address 1:	Human Aerospace Laboratory		
PI Address 2:	Department of Neurology		
PI Web Page:			
City:	New York	State:	NY
Zip Code:	10029	<b>Congressional District:</b>	14
Comments:	NOTE: PI moved to Central Queenslar	nd University, Australia, July 2016.	
Project Type:	Ground	Solicitation / Funding Source:	2007 Crew Health NNJ07ZSA002N
Start Date:	05/01/2008	End Date:	04/30/2012
No. of Post Docs:	2	No. of PhD Degrees:	0
No. of PhD Candidates:	0	No. of Master' Degrees:	0
No. of Master's Candidates:	0	No. of Bachelor's Degrees:	0
No. of Bachelor's Candidates:	0	Monitoring Center:	NSBRI
Contact Monitor:		Contact Phone:	
Contact Email:			
Flight Program:			
Flight Assignment:			
Key Personnel Changes/Previous PI:			
COI Name (Institution):	Bloomberg, Jacob (NASA JSC) Curthoys, Ian (University of Sydney	)	
Grant/Contract No.:	NCC 9-58-SA01603		
Performance Goal No.:			
Performance Goal Text:			
Task Description:	Research Plan evaluated sensorimotor development and validation of ground- exposure on sensorimotor performance landing maneuvers. Head down bed re- these tests. However, our recent artific deficits observed following spaceflight and the primary aim of this proposal is by the NASA SAT and HRP, as well a	eam (SAT) and the draft NASA Human Re risks for future exploration class missions, based operational tests to determine the eff particularly manned control or supervisio st (HDBR) was suggested as the ground-ba ial gravity study has demonstrated that HD . There is currently no operational analogue to deliver such a system to facilitate the se s for crew training and countermeasure dev	A high priority was placed on the ects of long-term microgravity n of spacecraft during docking and sed analogue with which to conduct BR does not reproduce sensorimotor e of post-flight sensorimotor effects, nsorimotor risk assessments mandated relopment. To this end we have
Task Description:	and the primary aim of this proposal is by the NASA SAT and HRP, as well a	to deliver such a system to facilitate the se	nsorimotor risk assessments mandate relopment. To this end we have

vestibular stimulation (GVS), which modulates afferent vestibular input with a pseudorandom current delivered via surface electrodes placed on the skin behind each ear. The GVS analogue has been designed such that the sensorimotor perturbation delivered accurately reproduces postural, locomotor, gaze and perceptual deficits observed in astronauts following short and long duration missions, without inducing significant motion sickness symptoms. In this proposal we aim to bring the GVS sensorimotor analogue to operational readiness by answering the following critical questions: (i) What are the optimal parameters for a single exposure to the GVS analogue? (ii) What is the long-term response to GVS? (iii) How well does the GVS analogue reproduce post-flight deficits in shuttle landing performance?
Our GVS paradigm disrupts normal functioning of the human vestibular system, essentially adding noise to veridical afferent information from the peripheral vestibular apparatus. In our studies we have shown that GVS replicates the sensorimotor dysfunction observed in astronauts post-flight (gait, gaze, balance, manual control). The GVS approach maybe useful for modeling spatial disorientation in commercial aviation. Another potential application is modeling of vestibular pathology.
In the second year of this grant we completed Specific Aim 1 (Optimal parameters for GVS exposure). We found that (i) GVS is well tolerated by 92% of subjects (55/60), (ii) continuous exposure up to 20 min at 3.5 mA peak current and 12 min at 5 mA did not elicit adverse effects (iii) GVS, like microgravity exposure, does not affect basic cognitive function (reaction time, dual tasking, Stroop, mental rotation, manual tracking), (iv) but GVS does adversely affect complex spatial tasks (matching to sample and perspective taking). This last finding is interesting as deficits in perspective taking (the imagined movement of one's point of view in relation to another object) were implicated in the collision of the unmanned Progress module with Mir in 1997. We also completed Specific Aim 3 (GVS as an analog of spatial disorientation during orbiter landings). Pilot subjects (12) comprising veteran astronauts, NASA test pilots, and veteran USAF and Navy pilots) performed simulated shuttle landings in the Vertical Motion Simulator at NASA Ames Research Center. The VMS, the largest flight simulator in existence, is used for shuttle pilot training. Subjects performed 8 pairs of identical landing profiles with and without GVS (16 landings per subject; 176 total; 88 with and 88 without GVS). Simulation parameters were a landing weight of 226,244 lbs (the VMS uses Imperial units), 9910 ft initial altitude, 295 kts initial airspeed, and target touchdown at 204 kts ('good' range 194 - 209 kts) at a vertical sink rate of less than 3.5 ft/s. Touchdown speed was significantly higher (p=0.026; ANOVA) with GVS (208.6 kts [SE 3.6]) compared to the no GVS condition (204.6 kts [SE 3.5]); vertical sink rate (no GVS 3.8 ft/s [SD 0.6]; with GVS. Hard landings, with touchdown speed in the 'red' (unacceptable) range (>214 kts), almost doubled from 14 (15.9%) without GVS to 27 (30.7%) with GVS; GVS also induced a 32% increase in the number of landings with a vertical sink rate in the unacceptable range (>5 ft/s), from 19 to 26. GVS was an ef
Description: (Last Updated: 09/07/2020)