Fiscal Year:	FY 2021	Task Last Updated:	FY 02/12/2021
PI Name:	Marquez, Jessica J. Ph.D.		
Project Title:	HCAAM VNSCOR: Crew Autonomy throu and Mitigation Strategies	igh Self-Scheduling: Guidelines fo	or Crew Scheduling Performance Envelope
Division Name:	Human Research		
Program/Discipline:			
Program/Discipline Element/Subdiscipline:			
Joint Agency Name:		TechPort:	No
Human Research Program Elements:	(1) HFBP:Human Factors & Behavioral Per	rformance (IRP Rev H)	
Human Research Program Risks:	None		
Space Biology Element:	None		
Space Biology Cross-Element Discipline:	None		
Space Biology Special Category:	None		
PI Email:	jessica.j.marquez@nasa.gov	Fax:	FY
PI Organization Type:	NASA CENTER	Phone:	650-604-6364
Organization Name:	NASA Ames Research Center		
PI Address 1:	Mail Stop 262-2, Building 262, Room 132		
PI Address 2:	Human Systems Integration Division		
PI Web Page:			
City:	Moffett Field	State:	CA
Zip Code:	94035	Congressional District:	18
Comments:			
Project Type:	Ground	Solicitation / Funding Source:	2017-2018 HERO 80JSC017N0001-BPBA Topics in Biological, Physiological, and Behavioral Adaptations to Spaceflight. Appendix C
Start Date:	04/15/2019	End Date:	04/14/2023
No. of Post Docs:		No. of PhD Degrees:	
No. of PhD Candidates:		No. of Master' Degrees:	
No. of Master's Candidates:	2	No. of Bachelor's Degrees:	
No. of Bachelor's Candidates:		Monitoring Center:	NASA JSC
Contact Monitor:	Whitmire, Alexandra	Contact Phone:	
Contact Email:	alexandra.m.whitmire@nasa.gov		
Flight Program:			
Flight Assignment:			
Key Personnel Changes/Previous PI:	February 2020 report: Mr. Steven Hillenius Hillenius as Co-I. Dr. Tamsyn works at NA 2021 report: Dr. John Karasinski is now a C	(Co-Investigator) left NASA. Dr. SA Ames as part of San Jose Univ 20-I.	Tamsyn Edwards is replacing Mr. versity Research Foundation. February
COI Name (Institution):	Bresina, John Ph.D. (NASA Ames Research Center) Gregory, Kevin M.S. (San Jose State University Research Foundation) Zheng, Jimin M.S. (San Jose State University Research Foundation) Edwards, Tamsyn Ph.D. (San Jose State University Research Foundation) Karasinski, John Ph.D. (NASA Ames Research Center)		
Grant/Contract No.:	Internal Project		
Performance Goal No.:			
Performance Goal Text:			

Task Description:	This task is part of the Human Capabilities Assessments for Autonomous Missions (HCAAM) Virtual NASA Specialized Center of Research (VNSCOR). As NASA considers long-duration exploration missions (LDEMs), it is envisioned that crew will behave more autonomously as compared to low-Earth orbit missions. In this space environment, crew will have better and more timely insight as to how best to manage their own schedule, minimizing idle time as they wait for Mission Control Center (MCC) to respond or react to a delay in activity execution. Moreover, crew must be able to self-schedule: reschedule their own timeline without creating violations. NASA currently has not characterized crew performance for self-scheduling; specifically, non-expert human performance for the task of planning and scheduling has not been characterized experimentally. The focus of this proposal is to quantify crew performance envelope for the task of planning and scheduling as a function of plan complexity, and develop mitigations that are aimed at improving performance in the face of complex planning requirements. With regards to crew performance, we will study the relationship between planning efficiency, effectiveness, crew situation awareness, trust in planning software, and plan complexity. Once a performance envelope has been identified, we will shift our research emphasis to develop and evaluate countermeasures that mitigate adverse effects on performance improves as compared to the baseline. Finally, based on research results, we will recommend corresponding standards and guidelines appropriate for autonomous crew in LDEMs.
Rationale for HRP Directed Resear	ch:
Research Impact/Earth Benefits:	NASA currently has not characterized crew performance for self-scheduling; specifically, non-expert human performance for the task of planning and scheduling has not been characterized experimentally. As a result of this research, we will quantify the user performance envelope for the task of planning and scheduling, which impacts many jobs both on Earth and in spaceflight. The knowledge gained from our research can be generalized to benefit our understanding on how to improve roles that require planning and scheduling, such as project planning, personnel scheduling, and operational management. Our research will also contribute to developing the next generation of planning, scheduling, and execution software tools for NASA.
Task Progress:	TASK PROGRESS SUMMARY YEAR 2 As NASA considers long-duration exploration missions (LDEMs), it is envisioned that crew will behave more autonomously as compared to low-Earth orbit missions. In this space environment, crew will have better and more timely insight how to best manage their own schedule, minimizing idle time as they wait for MCC to respond or react to a delay in activity execution. Moreover, crew must be able to self-schedule—that is reschedule their own timeline without creating violations. NASA currently has not characterized crew performance for self-scheduling; specifically, non-expert human performance for the task of planning and scheduling has not been characterized experimentally. The focus of this research is to quantify crew performance envelope for the task of planning and scheduling as a function of plan complexity, and develop mitigations aimed at improving performance in the face of complex planning requirements. With regards to crew performance, we will study the relationship between planning efficiency, effectiveness, crew situation awareness, trust in planning software, and scheduling task complexity. Once a performance envelope has been identified, we will shift our research emphasis to develop and evaluate countermeasures that mitigate adverse effects on performance. These mitigations will be evaluated in analogs and recommended countermeasures will be put forward if crew performance improves as compared to the baseline. Finally, based on research results, we will recommend corresponding standards and guidelines appropriate for autonomous crew in LDEMs.
	For Year 2 (4/2020 – 4/2021), we completed interviews with human spaceflight planning and scheduling subject matter experts (SME), designed a larger human-in-the-loop experiment, started data collection for said experiment, re-evaluated previous analog scheduling research, and continued preparations for an analog experiment for Human Exploration Research Analog (HERA) Campaign 6. Our team has published pilot study results from Year 1, preliminary results from the current experiment, presented in the Human Research Program Investigator Workshop, and contributed to two book chapters.
	Seven SMEs were interviewed in order to acquire subjective assessment on what makes a plan complex to schedule and what makes a good plan for astronauts. The SMEs were experienced human spaceflight flight controllers, mostly current or recently former planners from International Space Station and Shuttle programs. Based on these interviews, our team prioritized experimental design factors for scheduling task complexity and received insight into appropriate measures for situation awareness as well as "plan goodness."
	Our main focus for Year 2 is the completion of a large human-in-the-loop experiment evaluating human scheduling performance as a function of scheduling task complexity. An experiment was designed and software was developed in order to conduct this experiment completely remotely (as required by the on-going pandemic circumstances). Our custom experimental testing platform called ESSEX (Environment for Self-Scheduling Experiment) enabled our research team to collect objective and subjective data on remote participants while completing experimental tasks on Playbook (scheduling software platform). Power analysis showed we need 30 participants for a mixed factorial design 2 x 2 x 4 experiment design. One between-subject factor is type of task (scheduling and rescheduling), while there are two within-subject factors: percent of activities with constraints (low and high) and type of activity constraints. Dependent variables include: efficiency (e.g., time on task), effectiveness (e.g., number of violations), situation awareness, workload, trust, and usability. Additionally, we have developed and implemented an algorithm to evaluate the scheduling tasks in order to rank and compare against human performance measures. While recruiting remote participants is slower than anticipated, data collection will be completed by end of Year 2.
	Due to the pandemic, data collection for the experiment in HERA Campaign 6 has been postponed. Limited work on this experiment focused on: completing data sharing agreements, developing "plan goodness" survey for analog participants, and improving our data collection methods for analog environment. In lieu of this research, we conducted a retrospective, exploratory analysis of scheduling tasks performed by HERA Campaign 3 analog crewmembers. Because previous research focused on usability only, this exploratory analysis emphasized performance. Several factors have limited our analysis: inconsistent use of scheduling task complexity factors, data log inconsistencies, and missing data logs. However, we were able to identify at least three measures: time on task, number of violations left in the timeline, and subjective ratings of difficulty. We have learned that while subjective ratings are useful, they do not necessarily correlate with objective scheduling performance measures. Both are critical for the evaluation of human performance in

	an analog environment. Subjective ratings tend to be aligned with time on task, though there is insufficient data for statistical analysis.
Bibliography Type:	Description: (Last Updated: 03/05/2025)
Abstracts for Journals and Proceedings	Marquez JJ, Edwards T, Lee CN, Miller C, Bresina J, Karasinski J, Brandt S. "Crew autonomy through self-scheduling: guidelines for crew scheduling performance envelope and mitigation strategies." 2021 NASA Human Research Program Investigators' Workshop, Virtual, February 1-4, 2021. Abstracts. 2021 NASA Human Research Program Investigators' Workshop, Virtual, February 1-4, 2021.
Articles in Peer-reviewed Journals	Hambuchen K, Marquez J, Fong T. "A review of NASA human-robot interaction in space." Current Robotics Reports. 2021 Aug 7;2(3):265-72. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s43154-021-00062-5</u> , Aug-2021
Books/Book Chapters	Holden K, Marquez JJ, Vos G, Cross EV 2nd. "Human Interaction with Space-Based Systems." in "Psychology and Human Performance in Space Programs, Volume 1: Research at the Frontier." Ed. L.B. Landon, K.J. Slack, E. Salas. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, 2020. p. 259-94. Book doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1201/9780429440878</u> , Oct-2020
Books/Book Chapters	Holden K, Vos G, Marquez JJ. "The Human Factors of Design for Spaceflight." in "Psychology and Human Performance in Space Programs, Volume 2: Extreme Application." Ed. L.B. Landon, K.J. Slack, E. Salas. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, 2020. p. 205-24. Book doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1201/9780429440854</u> , Oct-2020
Books/Book Chapters	Edwards T, Brandt SL, Marquez JJ. "Towards a measure of situation awareness for space mission schedulers." in "Advances in Neuroergonomics and Cognitive Engineering: Proceedings of the AHFE 2021 Virtual Conferences on Neuroergonomics and Cognitive Engineering, Industrial Cognitive Ergonomics and Engineering Psychology, and Cognitive Computing and Internet of Things." Ed. H. Ayaz, U. Asgher, L. Paletta. Cham, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing, 2021. p. 39-45. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-80285-1_5</u> , Jul-2021
Papers from Meeting Proceedings	Lee CN, Marquez JJ, Edwards T. "Crew Autonomy through Self-Scheduling: Scheduling Performance Pilot Study." AIAA SciTech Forum, Virtual Event, January 11-21, 2021. AIAA SciTech Forum, Virtual Event, January 11-21, 2021. , Jan-2021